

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMUSSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Bak 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.yspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,357	03/31/2004	Donald A. Zick	14066.0004	5014
Stuart T. F. Hu	7590 05/03/2007		EXAM	INER
Steptoe & John	ison	TOLENTINO	TOLENTINO, RODERICK	
1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW. BOX PTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036			2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/813,357	ZICK, DONALD A.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Roderick Tolentino	2134			
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exten- after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 March 2007</u> .					
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims	,				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.  (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
10) 🖾 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the e Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
		•				
Attachment	c(s)		·			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/813,357 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

# **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 - 30 are pending.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 03/07/2007 respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-30 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Dujari et al. U.S. Patent No. (7,191,467).

With regards to 35 U.S.C. 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections, arguments are found persuasive and 112 rejections have been withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim1 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nessett et al U.S. Patent No. (6,766,453) in view of Dujari et al. U.S. Patent No. (7,191,467).

Application/Control Number: 10/813,357

Art Unit: 2134

As per claim 1, Nessett teaches generating a first secret known to the first device 3. and a second secret known to the second device using communications between the first device and the second device over a first communication channel, said first and second secrets ostensibly being the same, (Nessett, Col. 2 Lines 58 - 67) from the first device, producing first information derived from the first secret (Nessett, Col. 2 Lines 58 - 67), from the second device, producing second information derived from the second secret; using a communication channel other than the first communication channel(Nessett, Col. 3 Lines 1 – 17) but fails to teach comparing the first information and the second information in a manner sufficient to assure a third party that the first secret and the second secret are the same; and enabling the first and second device to use the first and second secrets upon the third party being assured that the first secret and the second secret are the same. However, in an analogous art Dujari teaches comparing the first information and the second information in a manner sufficient to assure a third party that the first secret and the second secret are the same; and enabling the first and second device to use the first and second secrets upon the third party being assured that the first secret and the second secret are the same (Dujari, Col. 12 Lines 58 - 62, out of band authentication).

Page 3

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use, Dujari's method of integrating third party authentication with Nesset's authenticated Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol because it offers the advantage of being a secure form of authenticating information (Dujari, Col. 22 Lines 10 - 14).

Application/Control Number: 10/813,357

Art Unit: 2134

- 4. As per claims 2, 12 and 22, Nessett teaches the first device and the second device generate the first and second secrets using a Diffie-Hellman key exchange (Nessett, Col. 2 Lines 40 57).
- 5. As per claims 3, 13 and 23, Nessett teaches the first information is derived from a hash of the first secret; and the second information is derived from a hash of the second secret (Nessett, Col. 7 Lines 18 27).
- 6. As per claims 4, 10, 14, 20, 24 and 30, Nesset teaches the first information comprises a credential (Nessett, Col. 2 Lines 61 63).
- 7. As per claim 5, Nessett teaches communicating a commitment from the first device to the second device over a first communication channel, said commitment comprising information derived from a security value known to the first device (Nessett, Col. 6 Lines 49 65), communicating from the second device to the first device over the first communication channel, information for use in generating a first secret, communicating the security value from the first device to the second device, generating the first secret at the first device and a second secret at the second device (Nessett, Col. 2 Lines 58 67), said first and second secrets ostensibly being the same from the first device, on a communication channel other than the first communication channel, validating first verification information related to the first secret from the second device (Nessett, Col. 3 Lines 1 17) but fails to teach on a communication channel other than the first communication channel, validating second verification information related to the second secret and enabling the first and second devices to use the first and second secrets upon a third party being assured that the first secret and the second secret are

Art Unit: 2134

the same. However, in an analogous art Dujari teaches on a communication channel other than the first communication channel, validating second verification information related to the second secret and enabling the first and second devices to use the first and second secrets upon a third party being assured that the first secret and the second secret are the same (Dujari, Col. 12 Lines 59 – 64).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use, Dujari's method of integrating third party authentication with Nesset's authenticated Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol because it offers the advantage of being a secure form of authenticating information (Dujari, Col. 22 Lines 10 – 14).

- 8. As per claims 6, 16 and 26, Nessett teaches the commitment is a hash of the security value (Nessett, Col. 7 Lines 18 27).
- 9. As per claims 7, 17 and 27, Nessett teaches the first verification information is a hash value derived from the first secret and the security value (Nessett, Col. 7 Lines 18 27).
- 10. As per claims 8, 18 and 28, Nessett teaches the first verification information is a hash value derived from a catenation of the first secret with the security value (Nessett, Col. 7 Lines 23 27).
- 11. As per claim 9, 19 and 29, Nessett teaches the length of the verification information is shorter than a length needed to provide a substantially identical level of security in a substantially identical method that does not utilize said commitment (Nessett, Col. 7 Lines 18 26, Hashed).

Application/Control Number: 10/813,357

Art Unit: 2134

12. As per claims 11, 15 and 21, Nessett disclose an interface to a first communication channel, an interface to a second communication channel (Nessett, Col. 5 Lines 26 – 29) a registration process (Nessett, Col. 6 Lines 49 – 65), that generates a first secret that is ostensibly shared with the other device using the first communication channel (Nessett, Col. 2 Lines 58 – 67), but fails to teach validates on the second communication channel verification information derived from the ostensibly shared secret, and is enabled to use the ostensibly shared secret upon receipt of an indication that a third party is assured that the first secret is shared with the other device. However, in an analogous art Dujari teaches teach validates on the second communication channel verification information derived from the ostensibly shared secret, and is enabled to use the ostensibly shared secret upon receipt of an indication that a third party is assured that the first secret is shared with the other device (Dujari, Col. 12 Lines 59 – 64).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use, Dujari's method of integrating third party authentication with Nesset's authenticated Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol because it offers the advantage of being a secure form of authenticating information (Dujari, Col. 22 Lines 10 – 14).

13. As per claim 25, Nessett teaches an interface to a first communication channel; an interface (Nessett, Col. 5 Lines 26 – 29) to a second communication channel and a registration process that communicates over the first communication channel a

Art Unit: 2134

commitment comprising information derived from a security value (Nessett, Col. 6 Lines 49-65) communicates over the first communication channel information for use in generating a shared secret, communicates the security value over the first communication channel, generates a first secret ostensibly shared with the device (Nessett, Col. 3 Lines 1-17) but fails to teach communicates over the second communication channel verification information related to the secret and enables the network to use the first secret upon receipt of an indication that a third party is assured that the first secret is shared with the device. However, in an analogous art Dujari teaches communicates over the second communication channel verification information related to the secret and enables the network to use the first secret upon receipt of an indication that a third party is assured that the first secret is shared with the device (Dujari, Col. 12 Lines 59-64).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use, Dujari's method of integrating third party authentication with Nesset's authenticated Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol because it offers the advantage of being a secure form of authenticating information (Dujari, Col. 22 Lines 10 – 14).

#### Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roderick Tolentino whose telephone number is (571) 272-2661. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am to 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,357 Page 8

Art Unit: 2134

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roderick Tolentino Examiner Art Unit 2134

Roderick Tolentino

- UPERINSOFIT